

Renwick Primary School Strategic Plan 2024-2025

School Number 2978

Mission & Values

To provide excellence in education with active involvement from the community.

With whanau and tamariki's aspirations, we design deep learning experiences to make a difference.

Be the best you can be; respectful, resilient and resourceful.

Piritahi Kahui Ako Annual Plan 2025

ITAHI KAHUI AKO ANNUAL PLAN 2025 Whiria ngatahi nga akonga - Weave Learners Together 'Whiria ngatahi ngā ākonga - Weave Learners Together' Equity, Excellence, Belonging STRENGTHENING TEACHER CURRICULUM **HAUORA** AND LEADERSHIP CAPABILITY ACTION PLAN **ACTION PLAN** ACTION PLAN Improve our literacy & mathematics Use best practice to support the needs of all Provide quality PLD from within and beyond our results from Year 0 - 10 so that at least region in all curriculum areas our akonga, particularly our neurodiverse Ultural relationships for responsive people 70% of our students are achieving within students expectations Strengthen assessment practices across the Kahui Ako through common tools, practices and Collectively improve attendance rates across Improve our NCEA and CAA results from assessment literacy our Kahui Ako Year 11 - 13 so that at least 70% of our Collaborative Inquiry students are achieving NCEA Level 1 and Provide localised response to the needs of our Share best practice in well-being models that are fit for purpose and culturally responsive tumuaki, kaiako, kaiawhina and other relevant staff. Ensure the new curriculum is effectively Improve transition points throughout our local implemented within our kura education system Continue to strengthen practices which bring the aspirations of Ngā Kawatau me ngā Increase localised learning opportunities Tümanakotanga o Te Tauihu alive in our kura for our akonga **MAURI ORA** All learners make expected progress Trusted reliable data informs practice progress measured and analysed Strong moderation practices Equitable outcomes for all Ngā Kawatau me ngā Tūmanakotanga o Te Tauihu

Achievement Success Indicators

- All learners make expected progress
- Progress measured and analysed
- Strong moderation practices
- Trusted reliable data informs practice
- Equitable outcomes for all.

Principle

Equity, Excellence & Belonging Collaborative Inquiry Cultural relationships for responsive pedagogy

leadership (ASL) curriculum (CO/ASL) provided on TOD's (CO)	vith Marlb. Attendance
 6. Kahui Ako assessment tool selected for EOY assessment 7. ASL's to support schools to understand E-asTTle 8. Provide PCT workshops & networking for localised need 9. Kahui Ako assessment tool selected for EOY newsletters 9. Match ASL strengths to schools based on needs (CO) 9. Support schools to access development training with mathematics support materials (CO/ASL) 7. Run extension opportunities for students in Math & transition 	cions for learning support to be (b) of improved attendance (CO) or LSC's in our region es for ECE transitions are in or review current transition (CO/ASL) 8 hui planned to improve clanned to improve transition ear Hauora workstream orts in place for WSL, ASL & or gathered across Piritahi student needs (ASL) or year action plan based on

How was this plan created?

Throughout the 2024 school year, staff and community engaged a number of times through many different ways to capture what our ākonga require to be the best they can be. Staff met with whānau during organised parent/teacher interviews, informally attending events where we had staff working alongside whānau to learn about who we are and who we want to be, to ensure we are being the best we can be.

Fortnightly newsletters outlining and celebrating achievements, successes, programmes of learning and differences being made for ākonga were sent to whānau to read and feedback on.

On our school website the Principal Blog was regularly updated to share different aspects of our learning, community days and events, examples of what we do to be the best we can be.

Following this time of engagement with whānau, we sent a survey out to our school community seeking direction, feedback and time to reflect to help navigate and direct with 'who we are at Renwick?' The survey was generated to gauge whānau voice and support for direction of the school.

The survey seeked information pertaining to the many aspects of Renwick School which helped determine who we are and what we do to work together for our aspiring young people. We seeked information pertaining to values which help highlight 'a whole person' rather than just academic achievement. Values that support emotion and academics in order to be successful in the 21st century.

The results of this survey highlighted many areas to celebrate and ways to best the best we can be. At a similar time 'expression of interests to become a PB4L school' were emailed to schools. The form was completed and we were accepted. Staff voted 100% to join in with this initiative, which we believe will support whānau feedback of ensuring behaviours and learning caters for their child/ren.

From our overall data and change in the teaching of Literacy, we continue to support staff with the teaching and learning of Structured Literacy and The Code. 2024 will see more data and impact being analysed after all staff have had professional learning and students up to Y4 have had Structured Literacy from NE.

With the change of government and the stalling of the revised curriculum we believe this is still critical to hold in our plan to ensure staff are prepared and supported to introduce the changes especially with the prediction of rolling out English and Math & Statistics in 2025.

Working with the Ministry of Education and staff of Te Pā Wānanga to become a stand alone kura is the key outcome for this year. Whānau have met regularly on a monthly basis seeking voice and understanding, outlining how they see the stand alone process evolving. Regular communication from Komiti Whakahaere and the Ministry of Education has been fed back to whanau at monthly hui and other platforms such as Facebook and newsletters.

As we analysed all forms of communication across the year, the Board of Trustees sent out a survey seeking the following information: What makes our school and community unique? What skills and attributes do you want to see our Y8 students leave with? How would you like to see Renwick School connecting with the community? AND What do you think we could do differently to enhance the learning opportunities and school environment for our students?

The comments that came back reiterated the need for consistent approaches of behaviour across the school, learning that captured the community of Renwick and wider, the changes of the revised curriculum and the importance of understanding who we are at Renwick through a values based programme.

Renwick School Annual Plan 2025 Expectations/Outcomes: **Strategic Goals Board primary** Links to **Key Activities/ Actions** Who is **Funding** objective Education responsible? requirements **Quality teaching** Objective 127 and Education and Understand and draw on effective practices PB4L lead team \$10 000 (MOE) We roll out the new rewards leadership Training Act that enhance students' behaviour, Senior Staff hui system to the students of the (NELP 1, 2,3, 4) Regulation 4 engagement, participation and learning. management Release lead school. This will include a Learn and use strategies that promote effective Board of (a,b,c) token system whereby if and team when the students are 1. PB4L teaching interactions with Māori as Māori. Trustees professional recognised demonstrating one Being able to engage in effective teaching Renwick School learning for interactions and relationships with learners. Staff PB4L of the three values; Develop staffs' confidence and competence to Community respectful, resilient and teach diverse learners and modify teaching whanau hui (kai) resourceful, they get a coloured token aligned to the approaches. Embed new school values across the school to value and place this in their align with school behaviour plan. House chute. The winning Introduce new school logo house will be recognised at Roll out token system based on three school the fortnightly whole school values: Be respectful, Be resilient, Be hui. The winning house will get a reward eg extra release resourceful time. Data will be collated of which value is recognised the most and which is to be included more. (all staff) • All spaces of the school will be asked to display our school values with the agreed wording from community and staff. Alongside this will include the school logo and its' narrative. Signs around

							the school will be changed over. (management & admin) The lead team will create lesson plans for all akonga based on the data collated. (leads) The team will lead hui for staff and whanau as a means of communication. (leads) Communication through school newsletters/panui, school website and school visits. (principal)
2	2. Structured Literacy / Code across the school	Objective 127	Education and Training Act - Regulation 4 (a.b.c)	 Invest in opportunities for teachers/kaiako to strengthen teaching in Structured Literacy development: create a literacy intervention programme to ensure consistency of teaching and learning of The Code and Structured Literacy across the school. Y0-3 staff have their classes for the day to allow the flexibility of catering for the 1 hour of reading, writing and math across the school day. Play based learning will include learning aligned to the new revised curriculum demonstrating the learnt literacy to new context. Stocktake literacy resources and introduce other text, not structured, to ensure the transformation of structured learning can be applied to non-structured text. 	Literacy Lead/AP/senior management	School to fund a teacher aide to cover staff who will design and support from data. Continue to ensure all staff have the opportunity to attend PLD offered for Structured Literacy.	 Whole staff team is confident and incorporates the teaching and learning of Structured Literacy/The Code. (ASL/AP) Staff understand the scope & sequence of the new revised curriculum. (all staff) Literacy lead monitors and supports all staff to include Structured Literacy. To reduce the students requiring intervention. Communication through school newsletters/panui, school website and school visits (principal)


3. Roll out English and Math new revised curriculum	Objective 127	Education and Training Act - Regulation 4 (a.b.c)	 Prioritise staff hui, teacher only day, PLD opportunities for staff to grow pedagogical knowledge of the revised curriculum; English and Math. Lead and support staff with resources, planning documentation, planning tools and how it aligns with the new curriculum. Analyse the current resources we have and if they align with the changes. Update Hero with the new learning goals from the revised curriculum. Support staff with the changes. 	AP/ASL/WSL will lead this for all staff.	MOE has instructed schools to take four half days for Maths and Pāngaru. This must be with the facilitator aligned to the math program our school has chosen.	 AP/ASL/WSL lead staff meetings to support staff unpack Math curriculum. School is enrolled in the first roll out of PLD. First PLD 3 March 20205. School documentation is reflecting the changes required of the new curriculum. (AP) Stocktake of current resources. (AP) Update Hero to represent learning goals of the revised curriculum. (AP) Communication through school newsletters/panui, school website and school visits. (principal)
Te Pā Wānanga 1. Pā Ora 2. Pā Wānanga 3. Pā Tangata 4. kia Pā Rangatira	Objective <u>127</u>	Education and Training Act - Regulation 4 (a.b.c) Te Marautanga o Aotearoa Whakapåkehåt anga	 Plan and lead learning aligned to community aspirations. Work with MOE to create an establishment Board and Governance Facilitator Work with MOE, navigator, kaiako and whanau as the kura transitions to a stand-alone space. Work with MOE to support the roll out of new curriculum 	Kaiako BoT Renwick Komiti Whakahaere MOE Navigator	MOE support with creating a changes to NZ curriculum Funding support from MOE for navigator role Work with Governance Facilitator	 Kaiako and whanau lead learning in our local community that links to the whanau voice. Governance Facilitator will support with the documentation required to become stand-alone. Renwick School supports the stand-alone mahi through attending hui with whanau, komiti whakahaere, MOE and any other group pertaining to the process.

			_		
1. Fidelity to H Pikorua framework to ensure consistent use of baseline dat to inform explicit, co-construct ed, culturally appropriate intervention	a	 Focus on building teacher capabilities Practice Leadership and peer lead supervision and support. Collaborative assessment Database alignment with Te Tuapapa 	Cluster Manager Practice Lead RTLB staff Renwick School principal	Ministry of Education	 Regular feedback from kaiako and whanau will be used to ensure consistency of the intervention. Supervision support from Cluster Manager and Practice Leadership.
2. RTLB team will demonstrate enhanced expertise and leadership through comprehens ve professional growth and development in priority	i	 Train RTLB in IYT & BLA roles Bespoke and deliver of Inclusive Design package Collaborative assessment 	Cluster Manager Practice Lead RTLB staff Renwick School principal		 Increase in RTLB capability to deliver PLD to cluster schools and meet expectations and deliverables. Increased take-up of the PLD programmes offered to schools. Feedback surveys indicating high satisfaction with RTLB support and collaboration. Individual assessment to monitor the collaboration in their practiced. This has been designed for RTLB.
areas.		• Clarify roles	Cluster Manager Practice Lead		

3. Lead school principal,	Promote a collaborative, positive work environment with the new team.	RTLB staff Renwick School	Effective cluster performance
cluster manager, practice leadership, and RTLB will experience regular communicati	 Priority leadership workshops to be planned and delivered. 	principal	Implement a communication plan that outlines communication pathways and protocols to ensure effective and timely information flow.
on, joint decision-mak ing and shared accountabilit y. 4. Monitor, progress and review partnership growth and collaboration efforts.	 Utilise the agency directory Regular meetings and communication with Kahui Ako, MOE, ECE, SENCO and Attendance and Engagement service. 	Cluster Manager Practice Lead RTLB staff Renwick School principal MOE Kahui Ako ECE SENCO Attendance and Engagement Service	 Progress towards shared objectives and goals set in collaboration with key partners. Partnership agreements or MOU's outline shared goals, roles and responsibilities Documented collaborative outcomes, progress reports and impact assessment.

Strategic Plan	2025
	 Continue with implementation of PB4L Continue to strengthen staff's capabilities with Structured Literacy & The Code Implement Literacy & Math (New curriculum)

	Renwick School Charter Targets - Literacy					
Focus Area:	Reading					
Strategic Goal:	All learners make expected progress in Reading, Writing and Mathematics					
Annual Aim:	Equity - All learners make expected progress and all priority learners make accelerated progress in reading.					
Historical Position:	Analysis Comment:					
	Whole School Achievement in Reading 2023-2024 Within and Beyond Achievement Level Mid 2023 Mid 2023 Mid 2024 End 2024					






FIG. 3 & 4 show the percentage of students and their reported achievements from min-2023 to end 2024.

Assessment data gathered from Insights on HERO, collected on 20.11.24

Assessment data gathered using Probe, e-Assttle, Grapheme/Phoneme knowledge, Heart Word knowledge, Running Records and O.T.J.s. 6yr Nets, Teacher Observations

Nb. The total of students reported as at 20.11.24 for end of Year 2024 was 460 and thus not the whole school roll. (Omitted were our YO) The total number reported for the end of Year 2023 was 459.

Analysis of shift over last two years:

Analysis of shift from end of 2023 to end of 2024

Reading- All Students: when reported against Piritahi Levels

67% of all students were within or above curriculum expectations at the end of 2023.


33% of all students were working towards curriculum expectations at the end of 2023.


61% of all students were within or above curriculum expectations at the end of 2024.

39% of all students were working towards curriculum expectations at the end of 2024

Percentages of the whole school data show a decrease in proportion of students working within or above curriculum expectations in reading 2023-2024; and an increase in the number of children who are working towards and towards with support, Fig. 3 & 4.

This may be a reflection of the data collection process and the fluctuating numbers on roll and the change of cohort.





Nb. The total of students reported as at 20.11.24 when this data was collected was 54. The total number reported for the end of Year 2023 was 42 and a different group of target children.

Fig. 5 & 6 show our target student group. It shows an increase in the percentage of students working 'towards with support' from 2023 to 2024. When looking at the raw data these students are over 50% of our whole school numbers working at this level and demonstrates how this area of need is captured in the target group.

As these students are our focus group, it demonstrates that the compilation of this group is robust.







Fig. 7 shows the attainments of a group of students made up of the whole school minus the target children. This clearly demonstrates the difference in attainments.

We see an attainment of 67.7% working within and beyond in 2024 compared to 11.1% working within and beyond in 2024 of our target group, Fig. 6.

We need to compare the rates of progress of target students to non-target students, to see whether they are making equal, slower or faster progress. Many of our target children have had a high level of support as detailed below - with an aim of accelerating learning or at least maintaining equal progress - is this reflected in progress shown below?







Assessment data gathered from Insights on HERO, collected on 26.11.24

Fig. 8, 9 & 10 show the differing rates of progress of groups of students. Fig. 8 shows 79.7% of all students made a progress step of 1 or more in 2024. To break this down and compare: the target group of students shows that 69.8% made a progress step of 1 or more in 2024 and our non-target group shows that 80.7% made progress of 1 step or more. This shows that overall our target group made less progress than our non-target students.

Analysis Comment:

Due to the high amounts of factors with a large amount of variance, it is impossible to draw any certain conclusions about trends over only two years of data. In order to draw any reasonable conclusions, I think that a minimum of five years worth of data should be analysed.

Against the Piritahi levels our Reading achievement is lower than 2023.

However, the percentage of children making progress of 1 or more curriculum levels is 79.7%, which is higher than 2022 (66%).

In Y0 - Y2 the scale for measuring this progress is against a scale developed by the teachers who are teaching using a Structured Literacy approach. It raises the questions of "How does this fit with the Piritahi scale" and how does that impact on the data? Broadly speaking, the students who appear to have made many more steps of progress have actually remained within a curriculum level or sub-level and this is usually level 1, because we have broken this level down into much smaller steps for our reporting and recording. Have we got the scale right or can we show progress in a different way?

This data does not take into account the Te Pa Wanganga (satellite kura) students being taught to read in a mixture of te reo and English.

We have had a myriad of foci as a staff to prioritise. There has been a whole school staff meeting delivered by RTLit Ingrid Hillgrove which has made us reconsider our teaching approach to handwriting and there has been Structured Literacy training, funded by MoE, at the end of term 4 for five teachers from Years 0-3.

Whilst there are children identified as needing intervention, some of whom are ELL., O.R.S., Dyslexic, being taught in Te Reo, the school is offering programmes involving Structured Literacy groups, Read/Write, Toe by Toe and Steps Web. Additionally a few children are supported by ICS funding and ELL funding.

Read/Write is a new initiative introduced by our RTLB team earlier in 2024 and has been developed into a successful and popular tool for our students who need support with reading and writing when using a device.


Progress of students using StepsWeb

We had 36 students using StepsWeb. All students made progress.

It should be borne in mind that the early stages (A,B,C,D) Foundation stages reflect the scope and sequence of our Year 0-2 curriculum. The students that are working on StepsWeb are all Year 3 or older and are thus working at a lower level than expected.

	Progress of students using Toe by Toe Toe By Toe is designed for anyone who finds reading difficult. This includes weak readers who struggle to decode or those with dyslexic difficulties. 14 students had access to Toe by Toe this year from Year 3 to Year 8. All but two made progress, of which 75% made progress of one year or more			
Target:	 A group of 32 from year levels 3 to 8 will make accelerated progress with the aim of achieving the curriculum phase for their year level December 2025. The group consists of: 5 Y8, 6 Y7, 4 Y5, 5 Y5, 3 Y4, 9 Y3. 			
Our actions	 Continue to emphasize the importance of positive relationships between students and teachers All staff in Y0-3 have had Structured Literacy specialist training. Structured Literacy groups working on 'The Code' in Y5/8 are in place to be planned and delivered to cater for the different needs of the students Regular Instructional Reading lessons in senior classes using guided, shared, reciprocal, Literacy Circle approaches. Daily reading quality books to students by teachers and parents. Careful monitoring of Priority Students on Inclusive Ed documents/whanau leads agenda and at whanau team huis. Students know where they are at, what their next steps are and how they are going to get there in most classes. TWM supports students with extra programmes of learning - Toe-by-Toe, Steps Web and overseeing ICS. Expectations that teachers carry out explicit teaching of comprehension and processing skills in all classes. Good variety of instructional readers and on-line resources for older readers and decodable readers and resources in Junior School. Organise the Resource room as we build up decodable resources for students in Phase 1 & 2 including MoE books and investment in non-fiction 'Little Learner' decodable books. R.T.Lit support of students and teachers if required. Integration of Literacy with other curriculum areas in some whanau teams. Teachers are expected to carry out regular assessing and monitoring Library is attractive and set out in a user-friendly way, constantly improving with Librarian's ideas and initiative. PD by Curriculum advisors/MOE around the new English curriculum. Start the new English Curriculum and ensure coverage of Reading in daily programmes as directed by MoE. Implement new phases of learning goals in Hero, when available. Continue with ReadWrite tool for 2025 out the Science of Reading in in daily programmes and sequen			

	Renwick School Charter Targets - Literacy				
Focus Area:	Writing				
Strategic Goal:	That student progress and development is accelerated with curriculum targets set for reading, writing and mathematics in relation to the New Zealand Curriculum				
Annual Aim:	Equity - All learners make expected progress and all priority learners make accelerated progress in writing.				
Historical Position:	Whole School Achievement in Writing 2023-2024 300 2200 150 150 Towards with Towards Within Beyond Well Above Mid 2023 ■ End 2023 ■ Mid 2024 ■ End 2024 Fig. 1 & 2 show the total number of students and their reported achievements from mid-2023 to end 2024.				






Figure 3 & 4
Show the percentage of students and their reported achievements from mid-2023 to end 2024.

Assessment data gathered from Insights on HERO, collected on 20.11.24

Assessment data gathered using Probe, Astle, Grapheme/Phoneme knowledge, Heart Word knowledge, Running Records and O.T.J.s. 6yr Nets, Teacher Observations

Nb. The total of students reported as at 20.11.24 for end of Year 2024 was 460 and thus not the whole school roll. The total number reported for the end of Year 2023 was 459.

Analysis of shift over last two years:

Analysis of shift from end of 2023 to end of 2024

Writing- All Students: when reported against Piritahi Levels

57% of all students were within or above curriculum expectations at the end of 2023.


43% of all students were working towards curriculum expectations at the end of 2023.


48% of all students were within or above curriculum expectations at the end of 2024.

52% of all students were working towards curriculum expectations at the end of 2024

Percentages of the whole school data show a decrease in proportion of students working within or above curriculum expectations in writing 2023-2024; and an increase in the number of children who are working towards and towards with support, Fig. 3 & 4.

This may be a reflection of the data collection process and the fluctuating numbers on roll and the change of cohort.





Nb. The total of students reported as at 20.11.24 when this data was collected was 43. The total number reported for the end of Year 2023 was 42 and a different group of target children.

Fig. 5 & 6 show our target student group. It shows an decrease in the percentage of students working 'towards with support' from 2023 to 2024. In terms of numbers of students this is an increase from 1 student who was working within or beyond in 2023 to 3 students working within or beyond in 2024.







Fig. 7 shows the attainments of a group of students made up of the whole school minus the target children. This clearly demonstrates the difference in attainments.

We see an attainment of 43.2% working within and beyond in 2024 compared to 7% working within and beyond in 2024 of our target group, Fig. 6.

We need to compare the rates of progress of target students to non-target students, to see whether they are making equal, slower or faster progress. Many of our target children have had a high level of support as detailed below - with an aim of accelerating learning or at least maintaining equal progress - is this reflected in progress shown below?







Assessment data gathered from Insights on HERO, collected on 26.11.24

Fig. 8, 9 & 10 show the differing rates of progress of groups of students. Fig. 8 shows 76.64% of all students made a progress step of 1 or more in 2024. To break this down and compare: the target group of students also shows that 76.64% made a progress step of 1 or more in 2024. Our non-target group shows that 76.63% made progress of 1 step or more. This shows that overall our target group made the same progress as our non-target students.

Analysis comment:

Due to the high amounts of factors with a large amount of variance, it is impossible to draw any certain conclusions about trends over only two years of data. In order to draw any reasonable conclusions, I think that a minimum of five years worth of data should be analysed.

Against the Piritahi levels our Writing achievement is lower than 2023.

However, the percentage of children making progress of 1 or more curriculum levels is 76.6%

In Tuatahi and Whanake Whanau the scale for measuring this progress is against a scale developed by the teachers who are teaching using a Structured Literacy approach. It raises the questions of "How does this fit with the Piritahi scale" and how does that impact on the data? Broadly speaking, the students who appear to have made many more steps of progress have actually remained within a curriculum level or sub-level and this is usually level 1, because we have broken this level down into much smaller steps for our reporting and recording. Have we got the scale right or can we show progress in a different way?

This data does not take into account the Te Pa Wanganga students being taught to read and write in a mixture of te reo and English.

Programmes have once again been impacted by student and staff absences due to illness, and in some cases the inability to provide relievers for affected classes, there have been several instances of split classes where regular teaching and learning is not possible

We have had a myriad of foci as a staff to prioritise. There has been a whole school staff meeting delivered by RTLit Ingrid Hillgrove which has made us reconsider our teaching approach to handwriting and there has been Structured Literacy training, funded by MoE, at the end of term 4 for five teachers from Years 0-3.

Whilst there are children identified as needing intervention, some of whom are ELL., O.R.S., Dyslexic, being taught in Te Reo, the school is offering programmes involving Structured Literacy groups, Read/Write, Toe by Toe and Steps Web. Additionally a few children are supported by ICS funding and ELL funding.

Read/Write is a new initiative introduced by our RTLB team earlier in 2024 and has been developed into a successful and popular tool for our students who need support with reading and writing.

Steps Web: (graphs have individual student names so can't include)

All students made progress using StepsWeb.

It should be borne in mind that the early stages (A,B,C,D) Foundation stages reflect the scope and sequence of our Year 0-2 curriculum. The students that are working on StepsWeb are all Year 3 or older and are thus working at a lower level than expected.


Target:	 A group of 36 from year levels 3 to 8 will make accelerated progress with the aim of achieving the curriculum phase for their year level by December 2025. The group consists of: 7 Y8, 5 Y7, 6 Y5, 6 Y5, 3 Y4, 9 Y3.
Our actions:	 We continue to emphasize the importance of positive relationships between students and teachers Structured Literacy planning for 1 hour writing per day across the school. Structured Literacy groups working on 'The Code.' Careful monitoring of Priority Students on Inclusive Ed documents. Students know where they are at, what their next steps are and how they are going to get there in most classes. Expectations that teachers carry out explicit teaching of comprehension and processing skills in all classes Vicki, Ainsley and Cheryl provide support for Dyslexic students using Toe by Toe and Steps Web R.T.Lit support of students and teachers. Integration of Literacy with other curriculum areas in some whanau teams. Teachers are expected to carry out regular assessing and monitoring PD by Curriculum advisors around the new English curriculum. Start to implement the new English Curriculum and ensure coverage of Writing in daily programmes as directed by MoE. Reassess and incorporate new HERO goals, when available. Assessment - EasTTle. PLD for staff, lead by WSL and Evaluative Associates. TOD - staff looking at the English document, with focus on Know, Understand, Do, handwriting requirements, beliefs and requirements of planning for writing. ReadWrite for 2025

	Renwick School Charter Targets - Mathematics					
Focus Area:	Mathematics Mathematics					
Strategic Goal:	That student progress and development is accelerated with curriculum targets set for reading, writing and mathematics in relation to the New Zealand Curriculum.					
Annual Aim:	Equity - All learners make expected progress and all priority learners make accelerated progress in mathematics.					
Historical Position and Analysis:	Analysis of shift of math					
	Whole School Data					
	■ End 2022 ■ Mid 2023 ■ End 2023 ■ Mid 2024 ■ End 2024					
	300 — 286					
	239					
	226					
	200					
	168					
	120 128					
	113120					
	44 = 33					
	1111 1711					
	Towards with support Towards Within Beyond Well above					

	End 2022	Mid 2023	End 2023	Mid 2024	End 2024
Percentage Within or above	68%	72%	64%	64%	57%

Historical Position and Analysis:by Ethnic Group:

Ethnic Groups at or above




Ethnic Attainment - Within or Above

	End 2022	Mid 2023	End 2023	Mid 2024	End 2024
Asian	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%


NZ European	71%	87%	64%	69.72%	56.31%
Pacifica	59%	75%	60%	42.86%	50%
Maori	59%	69%	60%	67.27%	64.34%
MELAA	62.5%	74%	80%	72.73%	63.64%


Historical Position and Analysis:by Gender Groups:

Female Achievement




	End 2022	Mid 2023	End 2023	Mid 2024	End 2024
Percentage Within or above	70%	65%	64%	74.45%	60.58%










- This data shows where they are in relation to the expectation for the end of their year level. Some students have moved sublevels even though they have not attained their End of Year level.
- Most notably and slightly concerning is the trend that the percentage of students across the board who are within or above decreased from mid 2024 to end of 2024, this trend also occurred in 2023. Pacifica students were the only group who increased from 42.86% to 50%.
- Māori student attainment increased from the end of 2023 to the end of 2024 by 4.34% (60% 60.34%).
- Female attainment increased by over 10% from the end of 2023 to the middle of 2024, then dropped below what it was at the end of 2023.
- Male attainment stayed consistent from the end of 2023 to mid 2024, then dropped below that of the end of 2023.
- Ranging from the end of 2022 to the end of 2024, our maths attainment data shows a concerning rate of decline.
- For our priority students, 5% have no change. 92% of these students moved 1 or more sub levels which is an increase of 7.6% compared to 2023.
- Across the School, 68% have moved 1 or more sub levels from the start of the year with 33% having no change in sub level movement.



How the Curriculum Levels apply:

Curriculum Level 1 spans from the beginning of Year 1 to the beginning of Year 4.

Therefore it is totally appropriate that Year 1, 2, 3 and 4 students be working within **Curriculum Level 1.**

Curriculum Level 2 spans from the beginning of Year 2 to the middle of Year 6.

Therefore it is totally appropriate that Year 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 students be working within Curriculum Level 2.

Curriculum Level 3 spans from the beginning of Year 4 to the middle of Year 8.

Therefore it is totally appropriate that Year 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 students be working within Curriculum Level 3.

Curriculum Level 4 spans from the end of Year 6 to Year 11, which is NCEA Level 1.

Therefore it is totally appropriate that Year 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 students be working within **Curriculum Level 4**.

Target:	A group of 18 from year levels 3 to 8 will make accelerated progress with the aim of achieving the curriculum phase for their year level by December 2025. The group consists of: 4 Y8, 5 Y7, 5 Y5, 2 Y5, 0 Y4, 2 Y3.
Our Actions:	 Whole staff start to implement the new Math Curriculum with the support of MOE PLD days, publishers online and face-to-face, if available, resources and websites. Planning templates for the new curriculum to be used. Careful monitoring of Priority Students, record their progress regularly, discuss progress in whanau leaders & whanau teams. Teachers know their students as learners and as people. Gifted students to be extended when possible. Sharing ideas and resources at Whole staff hui. Attend 4 half days provided by MOE with Evaluative Associates on Math curriculum. Purchase/order new curriculum resources to accommodate a diverse learning programme to cater for all students. Update assessment to align with the new Math curriculum. Staff to attend E Asttle PLD session on Math and how this links to the new curriculum. Implement the Refreshed Curriculum Phases and adjust the Goals on Hero accordingly. Deep learning in Kaitiaki to engage students in maths thinking as they choose learning areas/projects that are meaningful and interesting for them. Continue to identify in each whanau group the group of priority learners (the students who went down a level or made no progress). Discuss how we could accelerate their learning and monitor these students regularly through observations, reflections, and goals. Device and implement a school wide programme with identified progressions to align with the revised math curriculum. Have a unified assessment programme to ensure the data and levels are consistent across the school. Students get used to the consistency and format of assessment programme to ensure the data and levels are consistent across the school. Students get used to the consistency and format of assessment programme to ensure the data and levels are consistent across the school. Students get used to the consistency and format of assessment programme in mathematics. Co-